



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/967,237	09/27/2001	Jan Zavada	D-0021.5B-2	2855
24988	7590	03/11/2005	EXAMINER	
LEONA L. LAUDER 465 CALIFORNIA, SUITE 450 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104-1840			BLANCHARD, DAVID J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1642	
DATE MAILED: 03/11/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/967,237	ZAVADA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	David J. Blanchard	1642	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) David J. Blanchard.

(3) Leona L. Lauder.

(2) Larry R. Helms.

(4) Joan Harland.

Date of Interview: 07 March 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: All claims pertaining to the pending rejections.


Identification of prior art discussed: Pastorekova et al and Oosterwijk et al [a] and [b], in particular.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
 LARRY R. HELMS, PH.D  
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The substance of the interview was the enablement and obviousness rejections maintained in the Final Office Action mailed 2/11/2005. Applicant stated that an amendment to the claims to obviate the enablement rejection will be supplied for consideration. Applicant also pointed out that the prior art of Pastorekova and Oosterwijk [a] and [b] in particular, were nonenabling, since the prior art did not identify and characterize the MN protein and applicant will submit these arguments for consideration.